

to institutions; to nations and monarchs. That is factually, grammatically and lawfully NOT correct. (There are only two countries left in the world that are true Sovereign, they are North Korea and Iran. Interestingly you all know how the media has re-presented them as evil, home for terrorists and need to be saved and made democratic. One thing, how many countries or times have these countries ever aggressively (and not in self-defence) attacked any other nation. Funny this is how we have a different perception to the actual reality.

But consider... if a monarch is sovereign and there is only one monarch, there can be only one sovereign – only one who acts *independently and without outside interference*. And don't monarchs have *subjects*? Subject to what, you may wonder? Subject to *outside interference* and dependent upon those *possessing supreme or ultimate power*?

Subject – derived from the Latin word '*subiectus*', meaning "*lying beneath*".

ROYAL – means real. This is why in the Land Registry the only **person who can hold ultimate title is the Monarch (Queen)**. I have written proof of this from a FOI request.

Monarch – One who reigns over a **state or territory** (no mention of man or woman), usually for life and by hereditary right. A sole or absolute ruler or a **person** who reigns over a kingdom.

When we attribute sovereignty only to institutions, to nations or monarchs, we cannot also consider *ourselves* sovereign. Instead we are merely subjects. And when we consider ourselves as subjects, we assume the role of slaves, *beneath*, unable to act *independently and without outside interference*.


Is this really how we're willing to live? No, this is the ruse, they do not want you to wake up and realise you are Sovereign.

We are living breathing creations of the Creator, whomever or whatever that force is. We were born to this planet simply to **live**. We are a part of the divine creation of life; an expression of life itself. No more, no less. The Earth was granted to us so that we can enjoy it, feed from it, share in its abundance, and live as a conscious part of its wondrous mechanics. '*The natural wealth of this Earth has been hidden, stolen, destroyed for the wealth of the few*'.

But if the Creator created humanity, and humanity created institutions, should institutions such as government not be the *instrument* of humanity, and not the other way around? And if a government institution assumes the authority to limit our liberties, or to dictate the manner in which we may enjoy and live and share and feed, does it not therefore assume authorship of the Creator's work? 'We should know we cannot serve two masters.'

The Government Trust Relationship


When the concept of government was created by humanity, it was created with a specific purpose; to serve the needs of the people. Government was effectively entrusted with returning benefits to the people, as Trustees to our needs.



[Let's call this the Government Trust]

Here, Government acted for and on behalf of the people. Your rights are inherent, based upon who and what you are as a living being. The rules and objectives of Government were established by the People, and Government adopted those objectives on behalf of the People.

However, the behaviour of those claiming to be "the elected government as opposed to being a Dun & Bradstreet registered Corporation. Look up UNITED STATES OF AMERICA or THE UNITED KINGDOM OR THE COMMONWEALTH OF AUSTRALIA and you will find corporations not DE Jure Sovereign countries" today is in complete contrast with this trust agreement. Today's "government" acts as *executor and beneficiary*.



[Let's call this the Dictatorship Trust]

In this instance, "Government" possesses supreme or ultimate power and assumes the role of sovereign, and you act as a LEGAL ENTITY... a creation of the "Government". Under this model, "Government" dictates the rules and objectives, and you are merely a trustee (held as surety for the legal fiction/ALL CAPS names actions) employed to return benefits to "Government" as the beneficiary.

Contrast the two Trust diagrams above. Understanding the difference between the 'Government Trust' and the 'Dictatorship Trust' allows you to identify who is deemed **sovereign**, and who is in fact **regulated**.

Now consider a step further; if you are not sovereign under the current model of government, surely your "Government" – which claims executor and beneficiary status – is an impostor; undemocratic; a dictatorship. "Which unfortunately makes you a slave or sold into bondage, the words are mere semantics the fact still stands by your usuary (actions/deeds).

So, which world do you want to live in? A world full of sovereigns, acting independently and without outside interference, or a world full of dependent slaves, reliant upon and subject to, the outside interference of a dictatorship? 'Waiting for benefits and privileges granted to us (well, the Legal fiction) by the government in the form of licenses, benefits, pensions etc.

Self Determination or Dictatorship?

"Life, liberty and property do not exist because men have made laws. On the contrary. It was the fact that life, liberty and property existed beforehand that caused men to make laws in the first place"

~ Frédéric Bastiat, liberal theorist, political economist and member of the French assembly (1801-1850)

In today's world, who actually acts as Executor, or "*possesses the supreme or ultimate power*"? Are we living lives of self-determination? Or are we bound to a dictatorship, where opportunities are limited, and rules are imposed on us by an entity *claiming* to be a democratic government?

Clearly, we are not regarded as sovereign beings by today's "Government". Instead it presumes to **grant** us our rights.

But consider... if rights are granted to us by government, by definition, weren't we born *without* rights? And can't any rights granted to us therefore be taken away just as easily? Are we not then beholden to government as the highest power on the planet? What happened to our *inalienable* rights?

By definition, any 'right' granted to us by the State is in fact a *privilege*:

*"A **privilege** is a special entitlement to immunity granted by the state or another authority.... In modern democratic states, a *privilege* is conditional and granted only after birth. By contrast, a *right* is an inherent, irrevocable entitlement held by human sentient beings from the moment of birth or first breath"*

*~ Suzanna McNichol, *The Law of Privilege* (1st edition 1992)*

What we're seeing in modern 'politics' is the gradual erosion of our individual human rights and the insertion of limited privileges *in their place*. And by granting privileges in place of our inalienable rights, government is assuming a level of authority superior to the natural relationship between ourselves, our planet and the Creator.

We have already established that such authority was never meant to be the purpose of true democratic government. So, is this trend for our own protection? And if so, from what?

Remember ALWAYS - The Creator (YHWH), created man (woman) in his own image, man then for society sake, creates government (the elected ones), then government through the powers given to them by man creates local/state/municipal governments and they in turn with the help of central government created Acts/Statutes/Codes. This is the order...not where the Government now believe they have power over **us**...this in law is not and cannot be upheld, if we know who we are and stand on the Common Law (Gods' Law). 'We the People' are the law, we are the money, we are the society why because we are and always will be sovereign....and THEY know it!

For Your Own Protection

Let's call a spade a spade... the ongoing erosion of our natural rights is being intentionally perpetrated by an imposter "government" under the guise of our "own protection". In typical Hegelian Dialectic fashion, many of the "threats" from which we are being "protected" by regulation didn't even exist until power-seeking governments (and there bankster financiers) created them... just to get the ball rolling in their direction.

The "War on Terror" is a perfect example. Take the United States "Government" they sought war wherever they could get it, masking as so-called bringers of democracy. Its strategy was to take military control of foreign countries rich in natural profitable resources, while creating a culture of fear and militarism under which government would assume increased powers to monitor and control human activity, both inside and outside its borders. So, it created a "terrorist threat" in the eyes of the Western world by staging 9/11, the world's largest and most public 'terrorist attack'; an attack it attributed to mid-east extremism. Scared rigid, and rightly so, the people demanded a solution. And thus, war was declared! For our own protection.

The intention of the US Government was always to declare war. Several in fact. And they created the perfect climate for the gradual introduction of tighter governmental control, over populations both in the US and overseas, as well as control of the natural resources of foreign lands... all while financially benefiting government's corporate cohorts. And through the use of the Hegelian Dialectic, they convinced the people of American to support it.

Enter the Patriot Act and exit your individual human rights.... for your own protection.

Don't be fooled – this was no accident. It was by design, it's the same here in the UK with the change in the Terrorism Act and the imprisonment for up to 14 years for just watching so called false flag videos. The social media, internet and technology in general have been the bane of the controlling 'elite'. This is why 5G and smart meters and these supporting Acts of Parliament are being ushered in with no testing, backup or fall back position. Its all about control and if that does not work then destruction.

Let's STOP looking to the crazies to save us they are the ones that for sake of money are stealing as the metaphorical ship is sinking, they are on (the Earth) and slowly but surely its dying. Look at the Geo-Engineering movement (stay away from the term 'Chem Trails', you will be labeled a crazy), look at the evidence, factual photographic evidence and witness testimony on record by ex-presidents, generals, defense ministers and proper respected scientists about the looming 2026 crisis. So why have we heard nothing, not a sausage no

even any snippet. Why because all media outlets have been given scripts for the weather, they have been all given gagging orders WORLDWIDE. So now do you understand the crazies/blood sucking so-called elite government puppets will never save 'WE the People' We need to save ourselves.... Rant over sorry! Back to the thread of the topic.

The Hegelian Dialectic has long been used by governments to manipulate public thinking toward predetermined ends. And it rarely fails – unless you know they're doing it. For more information, I recommend *The Politics of Polarity: The Hegelian Dialectic and its Use in Controlling Modern Society* by Lee Maddox, WuW guest writer and founder of realnewsaustralia.com

Seeking Permission

Dictating how we live was never meant as the purpose of democratic government. Nor was manipulating the psyche of its people to support an implicit agenda. Surely that is the realm of dictatorship.

But as beings, many struggle with the concept of governing themselves. Many do not even consider it possible! And subsequently we hand over our power, the right to be the authors of our own lives, to others. Or worse... we seek permission from an external authority. And by doing so, we support the corruption of beneficial government.

As human beings, don't we have an inherent right to own our own lives? If not, then who does have the right to own your life? To live your own life surely means to live "*independently and without outside interference*", and in accord with your own natural instincts. Just like the animal kingdom, the primary relationship – that into which we were born – is the relationship between ourselves, the Earth that sustains us, and our Creator.

But is that how we truly live? Or have we been trained instead to seek permission?

How often do we cite an external authority as the **origin of our rights**, as if those rights were granted to us by a document or an institution?

"It's my first amendment right to..."

"I have the right under the constitution to..."

Contrast this mindset to the statement "*I have the right to...*" where the **protection of that right** is simply **guaranteed** by the constitution or similar.

Who granted you those rights? Are they inherent? Or are they granted at the discretion of an outside authority?

If the real function of government is to *uphold* our individual rights under natural law, isn't a government that presumes to *grant* your rights an imposter?

'True De-Jure governments serve 'We the People' and not usurp their delegated authority to financial serve their own evil ends....at the expense and destruction of all who stand in their way.

How can such a power be held by an institution of human creation and yet exercised over humans as a superior authority?

Surely any 'democratic' government that assumes such a right over its people, its subjects, has over-stepped its intended authority? Who sets the rules and objectives?

It's time for a new mindset.

Declaring Your Sovereignty

I am sometimes asked by readers: "How do I go about becoming a sovereign being?" or "What's the right way to go about declaring it?" Or in other words... "What paperwork do I need to sign?"

If you ask for the recognition of government to validate your sovereignty, your freedom, are you not seeking outside permission (are you are not acting as to self-determination)? Can any person or entity give you permission to be free? To act independently. Or is that a contradiction in terms? 'You are born free and are sovereign in the way you act and in the way, you are observed.

Sovereignty starts with you. You choose sovereignty for yourself; to feel sovereign; to live sovereign, to **be** sovereign. It's that simple.

By recognising the authority of government, we consent to it standing between ourselves and our true nature. But when we decide not to be dictated to, the game simply ceases. So forget it! Forgive the deceit (to forgive is Divine) and move on with a sense of freedom and love. Attune to the relationship between you and your Creator and acknowledge it as the single 'authority' in your life. Be the *executor and beneficiary* of your life. Allow your actions and interactions to be guided by the light of Creation and conscience. Once you make the internal realization, and truly embrace your natural (being) sovereignty, your outer world will begin to change with you. The law of attraction will become dominant in your world. It is said, that this law is in fact also known as walking with God.

No person or institution created by another can assert its power or authority over us, unless we choose to play along, or grant them the power over us. We have the right to just say "Enough! No more!" ... and [*they know it*](#).

Through my interactions with people and communities worldwide, I have encountered countless stories of everyday people who have stood up to the embedded system of 'authority'... and prevailed. Court cases are quickly resolved. 'Failure to vote' notices waived. Taxes refunded. Police actions quickly aborted. Everyday 'system' processes are quickly resolved in favour of the party who assumes authority. And by asserting your sovereignty, that can be you too!

If you consent to interact within the system, (be the NAME) you consent to being bound by its rules.... which will inevitably work against you. As a Trustee (surety for the Legal Fiction), the system is not set up to benefit you. But by withholding your consent to interact within the system and refusing to identify yourself as the 'strawman' corporate identity that government created (using your birth as collateral) you assert the *highest authority* in that interaction. You are SOVEREIGN.

Remember, government was created by humanity... even *pretend* government. It cannot dictate to humanity unless humanity agrees to play along. Your power is in your being. Do you consent to play?

In declaring your sovereignty (more by deed/action than actual words) '*this is where the phrase judge not by what I say but on what I do (deed)*', perhaps the best starting point is the determination of your rights. Essentially, before engaging in any interaction with those claiming government status, ask them to clarify your rights if you choose to engage in that interaction. Any government that claims to grant or deny your natural rights, instead of protecting them, clearly demonstrates which Trust they uphold: the *Dictatorship Trust*. You can then respond accordingly – by withholding your consent to play and setting your own terms of further engagement.

So What ARE Your Rights?

You have the right to live freely, independently and privately, without outside interference. That is natural law (Gods Law). Government cannot give you that right, nor can government take it away – unless you choose to allow it.

Rocking the boat can take bravery – we have been trained our whole lives to respect the supreme authority of government and the mechanisms it imposes. But the truth is – you don't have to consent to the authority of a man-made government, imposter or otherwise. Your identity as a *living being* gives you supreme authority over your own life. Simple.

'Remember the film *The Wizzard of OZ*, which was the film to launch to the world the concept of the Legal Fiction (STRAWMAN), I have a document on this film its fascinating, I digress...remember the scene, when they went to visit The Great and Powerful OZ, in the mani throne room and Toto the dog ran over and grabbed and pilled back the curtain and there behind the curtain was a frail old man....this is the whole ruse the illusion the trickery held out in plain site for all to see...so if we ever worked it all out they, could argue 'Plausible Deniability'.

Imposter governments thrive on arguing the *word* of their so-called "law", yet their actions contradict the *spirit* in which their laws were written and encroach on the rights those laws are meant to *protect*.

I will finish with the words of American Founding Father and principal author of the *Declaration of Independence (1776)*, *Thomas Jefferson*, who described each person's inherent right to:

"... unobstructed action according to our will within limits drawn around us by the equal rights of others" ~ Thomas Jefferson

As a sovereign being, your rights are to do whatever you please, limited only by the equal right of others to do whatever they please.

Your freedom is your divine right as a living breathing sentient being. Sovereignty is a gift granted to you by your Creator. It is up to you what you do with that freedom.

... and anyone who tells you otherwise is probably trying to control you or get you to contract.