

Court Manager  
Manchester Civil Justice Centre  
1 Bridge Street West  
Manchester  
M60 9DJ

5<sup>st</sup> November 2019

Dear sir/madam

I write to object to the licence renewal for Mr Mark Anthony King. I am aware that Mr King is unfit to be an enforcement agent and has committed several despicable acts while using fake “court orders”.

The following outlines the behaviour and failings of Mr Mark King:

1. This poor excuse for a human being, uses a face mask, weighted gloves, violence, force and criminal damage to obtain private properties. Mr King is also guilty of executing so called high court writs without the presence of a high court enforcement officer, this is also beyond the limitation of his current licence and is an abuse of his position.
2. While Mr King executed a High Court writ without the named High Court Officer being present, he was assisted by unidentified individuals from eastern Europe who spoke limited English and were therefore not able to communicate or understand. In one particular case, Mr King and the unidentified men had carried out an 84-year-old lady who had Alzheimer’s and placed her on the driveway with only a blanket over her head.
3. In an additional case, there was an assault with a crowbar against an individual within the property, this was carried out by one of the unidentified individuals acting under the control of Mr King. The property owner was strangled from behind and as a result, he suffered damage to his voice box which has affected his speech.
4. Mr King is required to ensure that entry is obtained without the use of force, this is ignored regularly.
5. Mr King has unlawfully obtained the assistance of the police to enforce fraudulent court orders.
6. Mr King has acted unlawfully and unreasonably while on the premises and has been guilty of provoking the homeowners.

7. Mr King is guilty of issuing threats and violence to gain entry while also using assault and causing criminal damage.
8. Despite the fact that there is no legal requirement to allow Mr King into their homes, Mr King has entered their homes unlawfully, while using force, which is a criminal act.
9. Mr King is guilty of wedging his foot in the door to prevent the door being closed, has forced doors and removed locks while also forcing his way past people at the door to gain entry. This is despite the protection of forced entry against homeowners.
10. Mr King has failed to obtain a warrant to allow the use of reasonable force.
11. Mark King has failed to comply with the requirement for giving a warning before visiting.
12. Mr King has failed to carry out a risk assessment which would have identified the disabled people and minors that he had unlawfully removed from their homes.
13. Mr King has been guilty of using his favourite weapon of choice, a sledgehammer while unlawfully evicting people, this and the use of force is a criminal offence according to the criminal Law Act 1977, section 45, paragraph 6.
14. OFFENCES RELATING TO ENTERING AND REMAINING ON PROPERTY (6) Violence for securing entry.
  - (1) Subject to the following provisions of this section, any person who, without lawful authority, uses or threatens violence for the purpose of securing entry into any premises for himself or for any other person **is guilty of an offence**, provided that—
    - (a) **there is someone present on those premises at the time who is opposed to the entry which the violence is intended to secure**; and
    - (b) the person using or threatening the violence knows that that is the case.

In conclusion, the behaviour of Mr King is clearly not the sort of behaviour that the court would condone, therefore this individual should be prevented from continuing in his licensed capacity, not to mention the fact that he should be prosecuted for his crimes.

Yours sincerely