



Common Law Courts

Great Britain & International

THE-COMMON-LAW-INFORMATION-CENTRE-
FLEA-LANE-BALLYBOFEY-COUNTY-DONEGAL-ÉIRE
F93 WD54

14th July 2022

COURT ORDERS

CONFIRMING THE VERDICT OF THE COURT

In the matter of

**The People (represented by :Ann-Patricia :O' Connell) c/o Marlinstown,
Mullingar, county West-Meath ÉIRE.**

(Claimant)

v

Darren Holmes (ex partner) c/o Griffinstown, Kinnegad, County West-Meath;

Bernard Gloster (CEO Tusla) c/o Heuston South Quarter, Saint John's Road, W,2-5, Kilmainham, Dublin County Dublin;

Patrick Rabbitte (Tusla Executive) c/o 59 O'Connell Street Upper, Dublin 1;

Michael Lawlor (Social Worker) c/o Child & Family Agency, Child & Family Centre, Dublin Road, Portlaoise, County Laois, Ireland

Brenda Cronin (Principal Social Worker) c/o Tusla, Harbour Road, Mullingar, County West-Meath, Ireland;

Annette Maguire (Area Manager) c/o Tusla, Harbour Road, Mullingar, County West-Meath, Ireland;

Sarah Blake (Social Worker) c/o Child & Family Agency, Child & Family Centre, Dublin Road, Portlaoise, County Laois, Ireland;

©CLC Copyright 2017 - 2022

Website: www.commonlawcourt.com

E-mail: info@commonlawcourt.com



Common Law Courts

Great Britain & International

Keenan Johnson (judge) c/o the Courthouse Building, Mount Street, Mullingar

County Westmeath [N91 T973];

Julie O' Brien (Barrister) c/o Distillery Building, 145-151 Church Street, Dublin 7]

Mary Tunney (Solicitor) c/o Lake View, Millmount Road, Mullingar, County West-Meath;

Adrian O'Reilly (Garda) c/o The Garda Station Building, College Court, 5 College Street, commons, Mullingar, County West-Meath;

Brendan Hogan (Garda) c/o The Garda Station Building, College Court, 5 College Street, commons, Mullingar, County West-Meath;

Kevin Donnellan (Registrar) c/o the Courthouse Building, Mount Street, Mullingar, County Westmeath [N91 T973];

Derek Bunyon (Registrar) c/o the Courthouse Building, Mount Street, Mullingar, County Westmeath [N91 T973];

(Defendants)

This case was heard on 14th July 2022.

As part of the Claimant's case, the following positions were accepted and established as **facts in law**, copies of the certificates were also lodged as evidence.

1. A Common Law Court Birth Certificate for :Ann-Patricia :O'Connell had been obtained, confirming her standing as a living woman, under the jurisdiction of the Common Law Court.
2. A Business Ownership Certificate for the Fictious Name (MISS ANN PATRICIA O'CONNELL) had been obtained by :Ann-Patricia :O'Connell (the living woman), confirming not only her ownership of this but that it also fell under the jurisdiction of the Common Law Court.



Common Law Courts

Great Britain & International

In relation to the Claimant's case, :Ann-Patricia :O'Connell first read the following Declaration of the Common Law Court, this confirmed the standing of all living men and women under its authority.

Declaration of the Common Law Court

3rd January 2019

To all living men and women, we hereby stand united and submit this declaration to the Common Law Court 'Book of Deeds' for preservation for all time.

We the people have inherent birth rights, established by our creator, which protect us from harm, loss and injury from others. For many years now, men and women have been subjected to criminal and fraudulent behaviour by the state's actions which have been imposed upon us, against our will, by both criminal coercion and legal deception. Fear and fiction have thus combined to bind us into slavery.

The state has committed attacks, injustices, killings, robberies, theft, unlawful imprisonment and countless other enormous outrages against the people, sparing no one on account of age, sex or position. To this robbery, slaughter and plunder they give the lying name of "Government", they have stripped us of our ancient freedoms and call it "progress".

We, the people, can no longer endure such assaults on our loved ones and personal freedoms and hereby confirm our inherent right to stand under the jurisdiction of the Common Law. Based, as it is, on the timeless laws which govern the conduct of men and were established by our creator in days of old and remembered and loved by our fathers as they built our nations. We will hold to this without ceasing for any man.

Borrowing from the declaration of our ancestors, made in Arbroath in 1320, we declare that, as long as but a hundred of us remain alive, never will we on any conditions be brought under mandatory rule by legal statute. It is in truth not for glory, nor riches, nor honours that we are fighting, but for freedom – for that alone, which no honest man gives up but with life itself.

This declaration is signed below by a sample of the men and women who stand united under the jurisdiction of the Common Law Court. This declaration is hereby recorded in to the Common Law Court 'Book of Deeds' on the 3rd January 2019 for preservation for all time.



Common Law Courts

Great Britain & International

On behalf of the people, :Ann-Patricia :O'Connell then presented her Statement of Claim, which consisted of details of the dispute, the alleged wrongs and the remedy sought.

The Dispute

In relation to the dispute, the charges issued against the Defendants have been raised because of their criminal, fraudulent and unlawful behaviour.

It was stated that the Defendants were guilty of targeting the Claimant and her personal property (children) to cause them harm, loss, and injury. It was pointed out that the Defendants, as with all men and women were subject to common law and are held accountable for their actions in their personal and private capacity.

Alleged Wrong

The Defendants while committing crimes against the people have caused them harm, loss, and injury.

1. It had been confirmed that the Defendants were knowingly binding the people into slavery through the use of criminal coercion (attaching a legal entity/fiction to a living man/woman without their knowledge and consent).

The Claimant spoke at length to explain the following:

Within the statutory system, when a child is born, the parents are required to complete a birth entry, for which they will receive a birth certificate.

When the birth entry has been made the state create a legal entity/fiction which they attach to the child and for the rest of their life, the government/state use this to conduct business with them.

It was pointed out that as this involved more than one party, accordingly to the statutory system it is a contract (it involves the child, their parents and the state).

In relation to contract law, for a contract to be valid, various conditions must be met, however one was referred to, was there full disclosure? Meaning, was every party aware of what was happening.

As the answer to the above was no, it confirms that the contract was unlawful and void.



Common Law Courts

Great Britain & International

This means that the use of this name/legal entity/fiction was criminal and fraudulent.

It was pointed out that unless the people had made a declaration of their birth with the Common Law Court, they do not exist as living men and women. There is no other form of ID available for living men and women unless it's confirmed by a lawful court.

The only ID available for confirming your standing were state documents, Driving Licence, Passport, Bank Card, Credit Card, National Insurance, and health cards etc. Unfortunately, these forms of ID only refer to the legal entity/fiction and not the living man or woman.

2. The Defendants were using statutory laws to target a living woman.

Once the legal entity/fiction has been accepted by a living man or woman, they are then bound by statutory rules. To put it another way, once you accept their slave-name (e.g. MISS ANN PATRICIA O'CONNELL) you are bound by their rules.

The excuse used to justify their fraud is that once you accept their legal entity/fiction you become a citizen within their society.

It was then pointed out that the title citizen is a legal title (confirming you are a slave), the term society is also a legal term confirming that you are bound by state rules and the privileges that you are given, come at a cost. It should be remembered that you are already entitled to these privileges as a birth right, however the government/state do not make any money unless they get to charge for them.

3. The Defendants have abused the people unlawfully as they have instigated various crimes against the people of the land of ÉIRE, causing harm, loss and injury while using statutory legislation

The Claimant played a recording of her son being questioned by a man acting as an agent of Tusla. It was very obvious after listening to the recording that this man was leading or manipulating the child to change his story by suggesting that the man would be later contacting his father and informing him of the conversation this man had with the child.

The defendants are enforcing statutory law and abusing their authority, trespassing on the claimant's private property (son), property in which the claimant has a superior interest. This ownership was proved by providing evidence that the birth certificate BC/22/839219 and fictitious name FN/22/839223 were recorded under common law on the common law website.



Several other documents were also made available to the members of the jury. The content of the documents also demonstrated bad faith by the defendants and were noted by the members of the jury. The Claimant described in gory detail, the injuries her son had sustained at the hands of his father and paternal grandfather. She also claimed that when ever her son was sent to his father's place of domicile, the little boy refused to exit the car and had to be forcibly removed.

4. The Defendants have kidnapped the little boy and the claimant has been refused access for no valid reason, causing her untold harm, loss and injury by destroying the precious bond between a mother and her son during the early stages of development which could have devastating consequences for the little boy's mental development and well-being in the future.

In relation to this issue, the Claimant referred to several documents which were submitted as evidence, and are attached as exhibits:

c) The United Nations Universal Declaration of Human Rights 1948

Article 4

No one shall be held in slavery or servitude; slavery and the slave trade shall be prohibited in all their forms.

Article 5

No one shall be subjected to torture or to cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment.

Article 7

All are equal before the law and are entitled without any discrimination to equal protection of the law. All are entitled to equal protection against any discrimination in violation of this Declaration and against any incitement to such discrimination.

Article 12

No one shall be subjected to arbitrary interference with his privacy, family, home or correspondence, nor to attacks upon his honour and reputation. Everyone has the right to the protection of the law against such interference or attacks.



Common Law Courts

Great Britain & International

Article 13

- 1. Everyone has the right to freedom of movement and residence within the borders of each State.*
- 2. Everyone has the right to leave any country, including his own, and to return to his country.*

Article 20

- 2. No one may be compelled to belong to an association.*

This confirms that the Defendants are all guilty of failing to comply with this Declaration, they are guilty of binding the people into slavery and are guilty of causing harm, loss, and injury as a result.

5. The Defendants are guilty of causing harm, loss, and injury to the Claimant and her little boy through the enforcement of unlawful legislation and kidnapping the Claimant's son.

The use of statutory legislation is criminal, it is not lawful and despite their insistence, it is not law. For this legislation to carry the force of law it requires consent.

By enforcing this legislation, the Defendants are binding the people into slavery, they are ruining the economy, running a police state, and causing harm, loss, and injury to the people.

6. The Defendants are guilty of causing harm loss and injury to :Ann-Patricia :O'Connell and her little boy :Michael-Thomas :O'Connell.

In relation to the Statement of Claim and the supporting evidence presented this day by :Ann-Patricia :O'Connell on behalf of the people, we the Jury, consisting of twelve reasonably minded men and women and having considered all the evidence presented at this hearing, issue the following orders:

1. We the Jury issue an order which confirms that the Defendants are guilty and have acted unlawfully and criminally in relation to the following crimes:



Common Law Courts

Great Britain & International

An Abuse of Position

The Defendants, by their failure to obtain consent, authority and/or jurisdiction have committed harm, loss, and injury against the people and have used their positions and statutory legislation to target an innocent living woman and her son.

The Defendants while abusing their positions are also guilty of binding the people into slavery.

Kidnapping

The defendants are guilty of the unlawful kidnapping :Michael-Thomas :O'Connell causing his mother the Claimant referred to herein untold harm and injury.

Criminal Coercion

The Defendants are guilty of the unlawful act of attempting to force living a living woman to do something, by way of accepting a legal fiction which the defendants wished to attach to her.

The legal fictions (Fictitious Names) ownership has been established through the Common Law Court, the business ownership certificates are court issued deeds and are established as a FACT-IN-LAW. This fact had already been established in the matter of Paisley Sheriff Court (Case Ref. PA18004245) and at a previous Common Law Court hearing.

Uttering

The Defendants are guilty of the crime uttering, of knowingly using forged and/or counterfeit documents to defraud. This includes the use of unlawful court orders, legislation, warrants and the legal fiction.

Note: The Declaration of the Common Law Court

'We the people have inherent birth rights, established by our creator, which protect us from harm, loss and injury from others.'

The Universal Declaration of Human Rights 1948

Article 4

No one shall be held in slavery or servitude; slavery and the slave trade shall be prohibited in all their forms.



Common Law Courts

Great Britain & International

Fraud

The Defendants are guilty of the deliberate deception used to obtain an unlawful gain, this is applicable to the use of the legal fiction and the issues of authority and jurisdiction which had been taken, but not established.

Note

The Universal Declaration of Human Rights 1948

Article 4

Failure to accept the positions of a living man and woman

The Defendants are guilty of failing to acknowledge the position of a living woman. The people have confirmed their standing as living men and living women by recording their declarations of birth with the Common Law Court, this also confirms that they stand under the authority and jurisdiction of the Common Law Court.

Note:

The Declaration of the Common Law Court

‘For many years now, men and women have been subjected to criminal and fraudulent behaviour by the state's actions which have been imposed upon us, against our will, by both criminal coercion and legal deception. Fear and fiction have thus combined to bind us into slavery.’

The Universal Declaration of Human Rights 1948

Article 20

Refusal to comply with common law

Under common law, the Defendants are guilty and held personally accountable in their private capacity for their refusal to comply with common law and for the harm, loss, and injury caused.

The Defendants cannot hide behind the statutory system while being paid by the people.



Common Law Courts

Great Britain & International

Refusal to comply with statutory legislation

The Defendants are guilty of Malfeasance.

The Defendants work for corporations that have no authority over living men and living women.

The Defendants have failed to identify the parties involved, living men/women or legal fictions. NO-IDENTIFIED-PARTIES-NO-AUTHORITY-AND-NO-JURISDICTION.

Note:

Contract Law

The failure to fully comply with the requirements for a lawful contract, voids any such contract from the beginning. The Defendants have failed to comply with the requirement for full disclosure.

Refusal to comply with United Nations Human Rights Charter 1948

The information above confirms that the Defendants are guilty of failing to comply with the above charter and in doing so have endangered their countries positions as signatories.

The Defendants in doing so are also guilty of committing crimes against the people.

Note

The Universal Declaration of Human Rights 1948

Article 18

Everyone has the right to freedom of thought, conscience and religion; this right includes freedom to change his religion or belief, and freedom, either alone or in community with others and in public or private, to manifest his religion or belief in teaching, practice, worship and observance.



Common Law Courts

Great Britain & International

2. **It is hereby ordered** that an order is required to remove the defendants from their positions immediately.
3. **It is hereby ordered** that there are financial implications involved in the sum of two hundred and forty thousand euros (€240,000:00) and no cents in money of account of the European Union to be paid to the Claimant for loss of earnings.
4. **It is hereby ordered** that an order is required to ensure the following:
 - I. The Claimant's son to be released immediately into the custody of his mother :Ann-Patricia :O'Connell;
 - II. Two hundred thousand euros (€200.000.00) and no cents in money of account of the European Union commercial lien to be attached to each of the defendants.
 - III. Ten thousand euros (€10,000:00) and no cents in money of account of the European Union each and every month to be used for any/all rehabilitation/therapy/counselling the Claimant's son may require until the boy reaches eighteen (18) years of age. These funds are to be taken from the Cestui Que trust pertaining to the father of the boy. Failure to provide access to said funds will result in the National Treasury Management Agency being contacted to provide same.

Additional Information

It is hereby ordered that the defendants be prohibited from ever working or interfering with children again.

It is hereby ordered that it is only at the discretion of the Claimant referred to herein, if and/or when the father of the boy will have access to the boy again.

In the event that these orders are not complied with, the Common Law Court may take further action against the individual concerned, to enforce its decisions.

These Court Orders are effective as of this date and will remain in force until such time as they have been enforced. These orders are issued under the authority of this Common Law Court and jus gentium, the Law of Nations.

IT-IS-SO-ORDERED-THIS 14th DAY-OF-JULY 2022 AT 10AM, GMT



Common Law Courts

Great Britain & International

PRESIDING-SHERIFF-AND-THE-COURT-SECRETARY-OF-THE-COMMON-LAW
COURT-GREAT-BRITAIN-&-INTERNATIONAL

(Signature of the Sheriff)

(Signature of the Court Secretary)

Court Order: CO/BB/009/2022

