

Department for Environment, Food & Rural Affairs
1st Floor
Seacole Block
2 Marsham Street
London
SW1P 4DF

Your name
and address
goes here

Date: ??????

Notice of Conditional Acceptance

FAO: DEFRA, Department for Environment Food and Rural Affairs; Tamara Finkelstein, Permanent Secretary, Secretary of State for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs; Steve Barclay MP, Animal and Plant Health Agency; David Holdsworth CEO, The Chartered Trading Standards Institute; John Herriman Chief Executive.

Dear Sir / Madam,

Regarding the UK Government's farming policies including, but not limited to, livestock registration, tagging, movement restrictions, and 'Net Zero' policies.

DEFRA's website claim the following:

Tracing animals helps to control and eradicate bovine diseases such as Tuberculosis (TB), Bovine Viral Diarrhoea (BVD) or foot and mouth disease. It protects consumers by making sure that products in the human food chain are safe. The leading scientists in the world agree that the earth is getting warmer and that most of this warming is the result of human activities.

DEFRA's website doesn't say how the research was funded or what influence has been brought to bear or give any independent proof that this is actually the case.

I hereby conditionally agree that the above claims are true and that DEFRA's policies are necessary and in the public interest upon receipt of the following reasonably requested items:

1. Material and substantive evidence, not mere hearsay or professional opinion, of purified and isolated particles assumed to be 'viruses/prions' taken directly from the fluids of a sick human or animal without culturing, that are then confirmed via Electron microscopy.
2. Material and substantive evidence, not mere hearsay or professional opinion, that these purified and isolated particles were proven to be pathogenic naturally, via adherence to the long-established scientific method.
3. Material and substantive evidence, not mere hearsay or professional opinion, of a primary publication and/or a primary specialist peer reviewed paper that shows the use of purified mycobacterium bovis and the scientific method to prove causation of the alleged disease, Tuberculosis, in cattle.

4. Material and substantive evidence, not mere hearsay or professional opinion, of a preceding thorough investigation using the scientific method into other possible environmental causes for disease and sickness in livestock, and that all other possible environmental causes have been ruled out, including vaccine damage and inappropriate feed contaminated by toxic agricultural chemicals.
5. Material and substantive evidence, not mere hearsay or professional opinion, that the government policies being enforced are not fraudulent in nature and that in reality they do not comprise a real and present threat to British farming, by seeking to eradicate the entire industry by 2030.
6. Material and substantive evidence, not mere hearsay or professional opinion, of an independent (i.e. not funded by vested corporate interests) primary publication relied upon by the IPCC that evidences the use of the scientific method to prove beyond all reasonable doubt that CO2 is responsible for temperature rises.
7. Material and substantive evidence, not mere hearsay or professional opinion, that the effects we are witnessing attributed to the alleged 'climate crisis' are not largely attributable to land management practices historically condoned and encouraged by DEFRA, such as but not limited to: deforestation; deep ploughing, mono-cropping and other soil-destroying practices that lead to biodiversity loss; mass chemical poisoning of the Earth with glyphosate, pesticides and other agricultural chemicals; building on flood plains.
8. Material and substantive evidence, not mere hearsay or professional opinion, of a primary publication and/or a primary specialist peer reviewed paper which shows that geoengineering programmes conducted and recorded internationally by the OAA (Oceanic and Atmospheric Research); NOAA (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration), and locally by councils, in line with the Paris Climate Agreement and the UN SDG's, are not giving the false impression of a 'climate crisis'.
9. Material evidence, not mere hearsay or professional opinion, that in the event the above questions cannot be answered, DEFRA is not guilty of multiple breaches of sections 2, 3 and 4 of the Fraud Act 2006.

Given the serious adverse impact of DEFRA's policies upon the environment, food supply, nutrition, businesses and people's livelihoods, you have 7 days to respond appropriately to this Notice.

In the event you fail to provide these reasonably requested items, your failure will comprise the tacit procurement of your agreement and the lawful presumption that the requested items do not exist, and that the basis for the alleged lawfulness of your policies is entirely predicated upon plainly false and dishonest statements. Therefore all respondents are responsible and personally liable for multiple breaches of the Fraud Act 2006 without prejudice to any and all civil claims for damages.

Without malice aforethought, ill will, vexation or frivolity,

.....
Sign above & type your name here